



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,646	03/16/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-021001 / US4802	5011
26171	7590	06/10/2005	EXAMINER DUONG, THOI V	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT 2871	
PAPER NUMBER				
DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

cin

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,646	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thoi V. Duong	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-35 ~~is/are~~ pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,12,14,16-21,23-25,29 and 31-35 ~~is/are~~ withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 11,13,15 and 30 ~~is/are~~ allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 26-28 ~~is/are~~ rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ ~~is/are~~ objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ ~~is/are~~: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0305</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment filed March 15, 2005.

Accordingly, claims 1-9, 11, 13, 15, 26-28 and 30 were amended, and claim 22 was cancelled. Currently, claims 1-21 and 23-35 are pending in this application. Of the above claims, claims 10, 12, 14, 16-21, 23-25, 29 and 31-35 were withdrawn and claims 1-9, 11, 13, 15, 26-28 and 30 are considered in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 26-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 05-243262 (JP'262).

Re claim 1, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6, JP'262 discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

a semiconductor layer formed on top of an insulating surface 11, said semiconductor layer having a channel forming region, an LDD region 29 and source and drain regions 31, 37, the channel region is between the LDD region;

a gate insulating film 13 formed on said semiconductor layer (paragraph 29);

a first gate electrode 15 comprising a first conductive film formed over said gate insulating film (paragraph 30);

a second gate electrode 17 comprising a second conductive film formed over said first gate electrode 15 (paragraphs 34 and 45),

wherein the width of said first conductive film in the longitudinal direction of said channel forming region is larger than that of said second conductive film (Fig. 6);

wherein said LDD region 29 entirely overlaps with said first conductive film with said gate insulating film 13 interposed therebetween and contacts said source and drain regions 31 (Fig. 6 and paragraphs 44-46).

Re claim 2, said channel forming region between the LDD region 29 overlaps with said second conductive film with said gate insulating film 13 interposed therebetween.

Re claims 4 and 5, as to the product-by-process limitation "the LDD region is formed in a self-aligning manner in accordance with the addition of an impurity element into said semiconductor layer with said second conductive film as a mask" of claims 4 and 5, it has been recognized that "Even through product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process". *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964,966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP 2113.

Re claims 26 and 27, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that JP'262, which is a semiconductor device, is applicable to electronic equipment such as video camera, digital camera, projector, head mounted display, game apparatus, car navigation system, personal computer and portable information terminal for intended use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 05-243262 (JP'262) in view of JP 6-148685 (JP'685).

The semiconductor device of JP'262 includes all that is recited in claim 9 except for said LDD region containing a region having a concentration of said impurity element gradient in a range from at least 1×10^{17} to 1×10^{18} atoms/cm³, while increasing as the distance from said channel forming region increasing.

JP'685 discloses an LDD structure manufactured by ion implantation wherein a concentration of impurity element gradient is 1×10^{17} atoms/cm³, while increasing as the distance from a channel forming region (below the gate structure) increasing so as to minimize a drain leakage current (paragraphs 20 and 25)

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the semiconductor device of Nishimura et

Art Unit: 2871

al. with the teaching of JP'685 by forming an LDD region containing a region having a concentration of said impurity element gradient of at least 1×10^{17} atoms/cm³ for minimizing the drain leakage current (paragraph 25).

7. Claims 3, 6 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 05-243262 (JP'262) in view of Nishimura et al. (Nishimura, USPN 6,462,802 B1).

Re claim 3, JP'262 discloses a semiconductor device that is basically the same as that recited in claim 3 except for said first conductive film having a tapered shape in cross section at an edge portion.

As shown in Fig. 1, Nishimura discloses a gate electrode structure 201 comprising a first gate electrode 107 comprising a first conductive film formed over a gate insulating film 106, said first conductive film having a tapered shape in cross section at an edge portion; and

a second gate electrode 108 comprising a second conductive film formed over said first gate electrode (col. 12, line 65 through col. 13, line 8),

wherein the width of said first conductive film in the longitudinal direction of said channel forming region is larger than that of said second conductive film.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of JP'262 with the teaching of Nishimura by forming a gate electrode structure comprising a first gate electrode having a first conductive film having a tapered shape in cross section at an edge portion so as to improve the resistance to thermal oxidation (col. 1, lines 61-63).

Re claim 6, as to the product-by-process limitation "the LDD region is formed in a self-aligning manner in accordance with the addition of an impurity element into said semiconductor layer with said second conductive film as a mask" of claim 6, it has been recognized that "Even through product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process". *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964,966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP 2113.

Re claim 28, it is obvious that, for intended use, the semiconductor device is incorporated into an electronic equipment such as a camera, a projector, a personal computer and/or a portable information terminal.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 05-243262 (JP'262) in view of Nishimura et al. (Nishimura, USPN 6,462,802 B1) as applied to claims 3, 6 and 28 above and further in view of JP 6-148685 (JP'685).

The liquid crystal display device of JP'262 in view of Nishimura above includes all that is recited in claim 9 except for said LDD region containing a region having a concentration of said impurity element gradient in a range from at least 1×10^{17} to 1×10^{18} atoms/cm³, while increasing as the distance from said channel forming region increasing.

JP'685 discloses an LDD structure manufactured by ion implantation wherein a concentration of impurity element gradient is 1×10^{17} atoms/cm³, while increasing as the

Art Unit: 2871

distance from a channel forming region (below the gate structure) increasing so as to minimize a drain leakage current (paragraphs 20 and 25)

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the liquid crystal display device of Nishimura et al. with the teaching of JP'685 by forming an LDD region containing a region having a concentration of said impurity element gradient of at least 1×10^{17} atoms/cm³ for minimizing the drain leakage current (paragraph 25).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 11, 13, 15 and 30 are previously allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Re claim 11, none of the prior art of record discloses, in combination with other limitations as claimed, a liquid crystal display device comprising a pixel TFT and a driver circuit TFT, wherein the semiconductor layer of said driver circuit TFT comprises:

a third LDD region contacting the channel forming region and entirely overlapping with the first gate electrode with said gate insulating film interposed therebetween; and

a source region and a drain region contacting said third LDD region,

wherein the first gate electrode has a tapered shape in cross section at an edge portion; and

wherein the width of the first gate electrode in the longitudinal direction of the channel forming region is larger than that of the second gate electrode formed on the first gate electrode.

The most relevant references, USPN 6,365,917 B1 of Yamakazi and USPN 6,369,410 B1 of Yamazaki et al., disclose the formation of the third LDD region. However, these references are overcome by a statement of common ownership submitted by Applicant on June 14, 2004.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2871

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

05/28/2005



DUNG T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER